

Survey of General Planning Jurisdiction Characteristics

In the development of a guidance document for the MCTC and stakeholders for our March 13, 2013, workshop, we would greatly appreciate the input of local planning staff in the assessment of their specific planning jurisdictions by Traffic Analysis Zones (TAZ) developed in the Moore County travel demand model. Please follow the guide below in the provision of information about your jurisdiction's anticipated future growth and development based on known and existing data, policy, and infrastructure limitations. Any additional information about special circumstances and areas that you believe need a more regional approach to their assessment are also appreciated.

This survey includes general questions about your jurisdiction and the data-based expectations for future growth and development; spreadsheet assessment of characteristics present in each TAZ; and a separate spreadsheet that should be the summary estimate of expected growth inside each TAZ in the following categories:

Population	Total
Households	Total
Employment	Total
Industry	
Retail	
Hwy Retail	
Services	
Service	
Office	
Rec_Emp	
Hotel_Emp	

Thank you for your participation and collaborative efforts in the development of the Moore County Travel Demand Model (TDM).

General Information by Planning Jurisdiction and TAZ's:

(Information may be provided as an attachment)

Planning jurisdiction: **Town of Pinebluff**

1. Please provide a general description of your jurisdiction's demographic profile and include as much supportive data as is necessary to support your conclusions including specifically household type (1, 2,4,5 person households).

Caucasian – 40%, African American – 20%, Hispanic – 20%, and Other 10%

2. Do you expect this apportionment to change between now and 2030? If so, how?

No

3. In terms of growth pertaining to just your jurisdiction, please define the numerical range that your planning department would consider to describe the following:

For population and households

- a. Low Growth **1-20**
- b. Medium Growth **21-50**
- c. High Growth **51-over**

For Employment

- d. Low Growth **1-20**
- e. Medium Growth **21-50**
- f. High Growth **51-over**

Note: These may be the same or different for population and employment depending on your jurisdiction. As a starting point, you may want to look at historical data and determine your lowest and highest growth values for each category. The difference should then be a division into the three ranges of high, medium, and low.

Does your planning jurisdiction include TAZ's that you believe would benefit from committee consideration and discussion? This would require local representation to bring the TAZ data and concerns to the committee floor. If so please list the TAZs you would like to nominate for committee discussion:

No

Committed Developments and Projects:

Please provide location, how many units, date of expected build-out, and the primary roadways impacted by driveway access.

Please provide as much information as possible about the following:

1. New and/or committed developments. [TAZ. 172 - Committed Development and ETJ Expansion from one \(1\) mile to two \(2\) mile](#)

2. Prospects and/or concept developments.

None

Employment:

Please provide general insight into your jurisdiction's economic development plans for job creation and industry/commercial recruitment:

1. Development or expansion of industrial parks and commercial centers: ____

[TAZ 219 – Expansion of Industrial Park](#)

2. Expectations and plans for your jurisdiction in terms of employment and economic development meaning locations and jobs created.

[TAZ 219 – Industrial Jobs](#)

3. Hotels, Motels, and Resorts - new locations or expansions anticipated by 2030.

[None](#)

4. Do you have any existing or expected special generators such as convention centers and/or significant, sustained tourist attractions?

[None](#)